

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Mohan Gopalkrishna Kulkarni	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 4062-94	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,868	09/29/2003				
23117	7590	11/10/2004	•	EXAM	INER
NIXON &		,	CHEUNG, WILLIAM K		
1100 N GLE 8TH FLOOF		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 2	2201-4714	1713		

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/671,868	KULKARNI ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	William K Cheung	1713					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a seply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	October 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-13 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or continuous continuous subject to restriction and/or continuous conti	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		· ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priapplication from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in a ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 102504. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's affirmed election of Group I invention, claims 1, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Therefore, in view of lack of traversal to restriction requirement set forth from Response to Restriction Requirement, the restriction set forth by the examiner is deemed proper and is therefore made Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mashelkar et al. (US 5,780,578).

The invention of claim 1 relates to a **cobalt imprinted polymer composition**useful for the **selective removal of cobalt**, having general formula (1)

Application/Control Number: 10/671,868 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

$$A(x) B(y) C(z) D(f)$$
 (1)

wherein

A is any vinyl monomer containing hydroxyl group,

B is any vinyl monomer bearing acidic group,

C is any vinyl monomer containing an amino group and

D is a cross linker bearing vinyl group and

x, y, z and f are the mole fractions of A, B, C and D respectively in the final polymer and vary such that 0 < x, y, z < 1 and f can vary between 0 and 1 and x + y + z + f = 1.

Mashelkar et al. (col. 2, line 34-59; col. 5, line 61 to col. 11, line 43) disclose a polymer composition comprising components A, B, C, and D as claimed. Since Mashelkar et al. (Figure 1) clearly shows that cobalt complexes can be formed from the composition as claimed, the examiner has a reasonable basis that the claimed "for the selective removal of cobalt" is inherently possessed in Mashelkar et al. In view of the reasons set forth above, claim 1 is anticipated.

Further, regarding the claimed "for the selective removal of cobalt", applicants must recognize that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as

Art Unit: 1713

compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,868

Art Unit: 1713

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung

November 6, 2004 MARY EXAMINER